

Positive implicative vague ideals in BCK-algebras

YOUNG BAE JUN, KYOUNG JA LEE

Received 17 November 2010; Accepted 11 December 2010

ABSTRACT. The notion of positive implicative vague ideals in BCK-algebras is introduced. A relation between a vague ideal and a positive implicative vague ideal is discussed. Characterizations of a positive implicative vague ideal are considered.

2010 AMS Classification: 06F35, 03G25, 03E72

Keywords: (Positive implicative) vague ideal.

Corresponding Author: Kyoung Ja Lee (1sj1109@hotmail.com)

1. INTRODUCTION

Several authors have made a number of generalizations of Zadeh's fuzzy set theory [10]. Of these, the notion of vague set theory introduced by Gau and Buehrer [3] is of interest to us. Using the vague set in the sense of Gau and Buehrer, Biswas [2] studied vague groups. Jun and Park [5, 9] studied vague ideals and vague deductive systems in subtraction algebras. Lee et al. [7] introduced the notion of vague BCK/BCI-algebras and vague ideals, and investigated their properties. They also provided conditions for a vague set to be a vague ideal. They discussed characterizations of a vague ideal. Ahn et al. [1] introduced the notion of vague quick ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras, and discussed related properties. Lee et al. [6] introduced the notions of vague d -subalgebras, vague d -ideals, vague $d^\#$ -ideals and vague d^* -ideals. They established relations between vague d -subalgebras, vague BCK-ideals, vague d -ideals, vague $d^\#$ -ideals and vague d^* -ideals. In this paper, we also use the notion of vague set in the sense of Gau and Buehrer to discuss the vague theory on BCK/BCI-algebras. We introduce the notion of positive implicative vague ideals in BCK-algebras, and then we investigate their properties. We investigate a relation between a vague ideal and a positive implicative vague ideal. We establish characterizations of a positive implicative vague ideal.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We review some definitions and properties that will be useful in our results.

By a *BCI-algebra* we mean an algebra $(X, *, 0)$ of type $(2,0)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a1) $(\forall x, y, z \in X) (((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0)$,
- (a2) $(\forall x, y \in X) ((x * (x * y)) * y = 0)$,
- (a3) $(\forall x \in X) (x * x = 0)$,
- (a4) $(\forall x, y \in X) (x * y = 0, y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y)$.

A BCI-algebra X satisfying the additional condition:

- (a5) $(\forall x \in X) (0 * x = 0)$

is called a *BCK-algebra*. In any BCK/BCI-algebra X one can define a partial order “ \leq ” by putting $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$.

A BCK/BCI-algebra X has the following properties:

- (b1) $(\forall x \in X) (x * 0 = x)$.
- (b2) $(\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x * y) * z = (x * z) * y)$.
- (b3) $(\forall x, y, z \in X) (x \leq y \Rightarrow x * z \leq y * z, z * y \leq z * x)$.
- (b4) $(\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x * z) * (y * z) \leq x * y)$.

In particular, if X is a BCK-algebra then the following property hold:

- (b5) $(\forall x, y \in X) ((x * y) * x = 0)$.

A subset S of a BCK/BCI-algebra X is called a *subalgebra* of X if $x * y \in S$ whenever $x, y \in S$. A subset A of a BCK-algebra X is called an *ideal* of X if it satisfies:

- (c1) $0 \in A$,
- (c2) $(\forall x \in A) (\forall y \in X) (y * x \in A \Rightarrow y \in A)$.

Note that every ideal A of a BCK/BCI-algebra X satisfies:

$$(2.1) \quad (\forall x \in A) (\forall y \in X) (y \leq x \Rightarrow y \in A).$$

A subset A of a BCK-algebra X is called a *positive implicative ideal* of X if it satisfies (c1) and

- (c3) $(\forall x, y, z \in A) ((x * y) * z \in A, y * z \in A \Rightarrow x * z \in A)$.

Note that any positive implicative ideal is an ideal, but the converse is not true in general.

Lemma 2.1. [8] *Let X be a BCK-algebra. Then an ideal A of X is positive implicative if and only if it satisfies:*

$$(2.2) \quad (\forall x, y \in X) ((x * y) * y \in A \Rightarrow x * y \in A).$$

We refer the reader to the books [4] and [8] for further information regarding BCK/BCI-algebras.

Definition 2.2. [2] A *vague set* A in the universe of discourse U is characterized by two membership functions given by:

- (1) A true membership function

$$t_A : U \rightarrow [0, 1],$$

and

(2) A false membership function

$$f_A : U \rightarrow [0, 1],$$

where $t_A(u)$ is a lower bound on the grade of membership of u derived from the “evidence for u ”, $f_A(u)$ is a lower bound on the negation of u derived from the “evidence against u ”, and

$$t_A(u) + f_A(u) \leq 1.$$

Thus the grade of membership of u in the vague set A is bounded by a subinterval $[t_A(u), 1 - f_A(u)]$ of $[0, 1]$. This indicates that if the actual grade of membership of u is $\mu(u)$, then

$$t_A(u) \leq \mu(u) \leq 1 - f_A(u).$$

The vague set A is written as

$$A = \{ \langle u, [t_A(u), 1 - f_A(u)] \rangle \mid u \in U \},$$

where the interval $[t_A(u), 1 - f_A(u)]$ is called the *vague value* of u in A , denoted by $V_A(u)$.

Recall that if $I_1 = [a_1, b_1]$ and $I_2 = [a_2, b_2]$ are two subintervals of $[0, 1]$, we can define a relation between I_1 and I_2 by $I_1 \succeq I_2$ if and only if $a_1 \geq a_2$ and $b_1 \geq b_2$. For $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ we now define (α, β) -cut and α -cut of a vague set.

Definition 2.3. [2] Let A be a vague set of a universe X with the true-membership function t_A and the false-membership function f_A . The (α, β) -cut of the vague set A is a crisp subset $A_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ of the set X given by

$$A_{(\alpha, \beta)} = \{x \in X \mid V_A(x) \succeq [\alpha, \beta]\}.$$

Clearly $A_{(0,0)} = X$. The (α, β) -cuts of the vague set A are also called *vague-cuts* of A .

Definition 2.4. [2] The α -cut of the vague set A is a crisp subset A_α of the set X given by $A_\alpha = A_{(\alpha, \alpha)}$.

Note that $A_0 = X$, and if $\alpha \geq \beta$ then $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$ and $A_{(\alpha, \beta)} = A_\alpha$.

Equivalently, we can define the α -cut as

$$A_\alpha = \{x \in X \mid t_A(x) \geq \alpha\}.$$

3. POSITIVE IMPLICATIVE VAGUE IDEALS

For our discussion, we shall use the following notations on interval arithmetic:

Let $I[0, 1]$ denote the family of all closed subintervals of $[0, 1]$. We define the term “imax” to mean the maximum of two intervals as

$$\text{imax}(I_1, I_2) := [\max(a_1, a_2), \max(b_1, b_2)],$$

where $I_1 = [a_1, b_1]$, $I_2 = [a_2, b_2] \in I[0, 1]$. Similarly we define “imin”. The concepts of “imax” and “imin” could be extended to define “isup” and “iinf” of infinite number of elements of $I[0, 1]$.

It is obvious that $L = \{I[0, 1], \text{isup}, \text{iinf}, \succeq\}$ is a lattice with universal bounds $[0, 0]$ and $[1, 1]$ (see [1]).

In what follows let X denote a BCK-algebra unless otherwise specified.

Definition 3.1. [7] A vague set A of X is called a *vague BCK/BCI-algebra* of X if the following condition is true:

$$(3.1) \quad (\forall x, y \in X) (V_A(x * y) \succeq \text{imin}\{V_A(x), V_A(y)\}),$$

that is,

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} t_A(x * y) &\geq \min\{t_A(x), t_A(y)\}, \\ 1 - f_A(x * y) &\geq \min\{1 - f_A(x), 1 - f_A(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 3.2. [7] A vague set A of X is called a *vague ideal* of X if the following conditions are true:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(d1)} \quad &(\forall x \in X) (V_A(0) \succeq V_A(x)), \\ \text{(d2)} \quad &(\forall x, y \in X) (V_A(x) \succeq \text{imin}\{V_A(x * y), V_A(y)\}), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(3.3) \quad t_A(0) \geq t_A(x), \quad 1 - f_A(0) \geq 1 - f_A(x),$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} t_A(x) &\geq \min\{t_A(x * y), t_A(y)\}, \\ 1 - f_A(x) &\geq \min\{1 - f_A(x * y), 1 - f_A(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Proposition 3.3. [7] Every vague ideal A of X satisfies:

$$(3.5) \quad (\forall x, y \in X) (x \leq y \Rightarrow V_A(x) \succeq V_A(y)).$$

Proposition 3.4. [7] Every vague ideal A of X satisfies:

$$(3.6) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in X) (V_A(x * z) \succeq \text{imin}\{V_A((x * y) * z), V_A(y)\}).$$

Proposition 3.5. For a vague ideal A of X , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) $(\forall x, y \in X) (V_A(x * y) \succeq V_A((x * y) * y)).$
- (2) $(\forall x, y, z \in X) (V_A((x * z) * (y * z)) \succeq V_A((x * y) * z)).$

Proof. Assume that A satisfies the condition (1). Since

$$((x * (y * z)) * z) * z = ((x * z) * (y * z)) * z \leq (x * y) * z$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$, we have $t_A((x * y) * z) \leq t_A(((x * (y * z)) * z) * z)$ and

$$1 - f_A((x * y) * z) \leq 1 - f_A(((x * (y * z)) * z) * z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. It follows from (b2) and (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} t_A((x * z) * (y * z)) &= t_A((x * (y * z)) * z) \\ &\geq t_A(((x * (y * z)) * z) * z) \geq t_A((x * y) * z) \end{aligned}$$

$*$	0	a	b
0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0
b	b	b	0

TABLE 1. $*$ -operation for X

and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - f_A((x * z) * (y * z)) &= 1 - f_A((x * (y * z)) * z) \\ &\geq 1 - f_A(((x * (y * z)) * z) * z) \geq 1 - f_A((x * y) * z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore A satisfies the second condition. Conversely, if we put $z = y$ in (2) and use (a3) and (b1), then we obtain the condition (1). This completes the proof. \square

Definition 3.6. A vague set A of X is called a *positive implicative vague ideal* of X if it satisfies (d1) and

$$(d3) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in X) \quad (V_A(x * z) \succeq \text{imin} \{V_A((x * y) * z), V_A(y * z)\}).$$

Note that (d3) is equivalent to the following assertion:

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} t_A(x * z) &\geq \min \{t_A((x * y) * z), t_A(y * z)\}, \\ 1 - f_A(x * z) &\geq \min \{1 - f_A((x * y) * z), 1 - f_A(y * z)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Example 3.7. Let $X = \{0, a, b\}$ be a BCK-algebra in which the $*$ -operation is given by Table 1. Let A be a vague set in X defined by

$$A = \{\langle 0, [0.7, 0.2] \rangle, \langle a, [0.5, 0.3] \rangle, \langle b, [0.4, 0.4] \rangle\}.$$

It is routine to verify that A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X .

Theorem 3.8. Every positive implicative vague ideal is a vague ideal.

Proof. Let A be a positive implicative vague ideal of X . If we take $z = 0$ in (d3) and use (b1), then we obtain (d2). Hence A is a vague ideal of X . \square

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 3.8 may not be true.

Example 3.9. Consider a BCK-algebra $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$ with Cayley table which is given by Table 2. Let A be a vague set in X defined by

$$A = \{\langle 0, [0.8, 0.1] \rangle, \langle a, [0.7, 0.2] \rangle, \langle b, [0.7, 0.2] \rangle, \langle c, [0.5, 0.4] \rangle\}.$$

It is routine to verify that A is a vague ideal of X . But it is not a positive implicative vague ideal of X since

$$V_A(b * a) \not\succeq \text{imin} \{V_A((b * a) * a), V_A(a * a)\}.$$

It is natural to ask what is the condition under which a vague ideal is a positive implicative vague ideal? We now answer this question.

Theorem 3.10. For a vague ideal A of X , the following are equivalent:

$*$	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	a
b	b	a	0	b
c	c	c	c	0

TABLE 2. Cayley table for X

- (1) A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X .
(2) A satisfies the condition (1) in Proposition 3.5.

Proof. Assume that A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X . If we put $z = y$ in (d3) and use (a3) and (d1), then

$$\begin{aligned} V_A(x * y) &\succeq \text{imin} \{V_A((x * y) * y), V_A(y * y)\} \\ &= \text{imin} \{V_A((x * y) * y), V_A(0)\} \\ &= V_A((x * y) * y) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

Conversely, suppose that A satisfies the condition (1) of Proposition 3.5. Note that $((x * z) * z) * (y * z) \leq (x * y) * z$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. Using Proposition 3.5(1), (d2) and Proposition 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_A(x * z) &\succeq V_A((x * z) * z) \\ &\succeq \text{imin} \{V_A(((x * z) * z) * (y * z)), V_A(y * z)\} \\ &\succeq \text{imin} \{V_A((x * y) * z), V_A(y * z)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and so A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X . □

Theorem 3.11. For a vague ideal A of X , the following are equivalent:

- (1) A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X .
(2) A satisfies the condition (2) in Proposition 3.5.

Proof. Assume that A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X . Combining Theorems 3.8 and 3.10 and Proposition 3.5, A satisfies the condition (2) in Proposition 3.5.

Conversely, suppose that (2) is valid. For any $x, y, z \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_A(x * z) &\succeq \text{imin} \{V_A((x * z) * (y * z)), V_A(y * z)\} \\ &\succeq \text{imin} \{V_A((x * y) * z), V_A(y * z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X . □

Theorem 3.12. For a vague set A in X , the following are equivalent:

- (1) A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X .
(2) A satisfies conditions (d1) and

$$(3.8) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in X) \quad (V_A(x * y) \succeq \text{imin} \{V_A(((x * y) * y) * z), V_A(z)\}).$$

Proof. Assume that A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X . Then A is a vague ideal of X by Theorem 3.8, and so A satisfies the condition (d1). Using (d2), (b2), (a3), (b1) and Theorem 3.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_A(x * y) &\succeq \text{imin} \{V_A((x * y) * z), V_A(z)\} \\ &= \text{imin} \{V_A(((x * z) * y) * (y * y)), V_A(z)\} \\ &\succeq \text{imin} \{V_A(((x * z) * y) * y), V_A(z)\} \\ &= \text{imin} \{V_A(((x * y) * y) * z), V_A(z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (3.8) is valid.

Conversely, let A satisfy conditions (d1) and (3.8). For any $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_A(x) &= V_A(x * 0) \succeq \text{imin} \{V_A(((x * 0) * 0) * y), V_A(y)\} \\ &= \text{imin} \{V_A(x * y), V_A(y)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence A is a vague ideal of X . If we put $z = 0$ in (3.8), then

$$V_A(x * y) \succeq \text{imin} \{V_A(((x * y) * y) * 0), V_A(0)\} = V_A((x * y) * y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. It follows from Theorem 3.10 that A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X . \square

Combining the above results, we have characterizations of a positive implicative vague ideal.

Theorem 3.13. *For a vague set A in X , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X .
- (2) A is a vague ideal of X satisfying the condition (1) in Proposition 3.5.
- (3) A is a vague ideal of X satisfying the condition (2) in Proposition 3.5.
- (4) A satisfies the conditions (d1) and (3.8)

Lemma 3.14. [7] *For a vague set A in X , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) A is a vague ideal of X .
- (2) A satisfies the following implication:

$$(3.9) \quad (\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x * y) * z = 0 \Rightarrow V_A(x) \succeq \text{imin} \{V_A(y), V_A(z)\}).$$

Theorem 3.15. *For a vague set A in X , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X .
- (2) A satisfies the following implication:

$$(3.10) \quad (((x * y) * y) * a) * b = 0 \Rightarrow V_A(x * y) \succeq \text{imin} \{V_A(a), V_A(b)\}.$$

for all $x, y, a, b \in X$.

Proof. Assume that A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X . Then A is a vague ideal of X by Theorem 3.8. Let $x, y, a, b \in X$ be such that $((x * y) * y) * a * b = 0$. It follows from Theorem 3.10 and Lemma 3.14 that

$$V_A(x * y) \succeq V_A((x * y) * y) \succeq \text{imin} \{V_A(a), V_A(b)\}.$$

Therefore A satisfies (3.10).

Conversely, suppose that A satisfies the condition (3.10) and let $x, a, b \in X$ be such that $(x * a) * b = 0$. Then $((x * 0) * 0) * a * b = 0$, and so

$$V_A(x) = V_A(x * 0) \succeq \text{imin} \{V_A(a), V_A(b)\}.$$

Using Lemma 3.14, we know that A is a vague ideal of X . Note that

$$(((x * y) * y) * ((x * y) * y)) * 0 = 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$. It follows from (3.10) that

$$V_A(x * y) \succeq \text{imin} \{V_A((x * y) * y), V_A(0)\} = V_A((x * y) * y)$$

and so A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X by Theorem 3.10. \square

Theorem 3.16. *For a vague set A in X , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X .
- (2) A satisfies the following implication:

$$(3.11) \quad (((x * y) * z) * a) * b = 0 \Rightarrow V_A((x * z) * (y * z)) \succeq \text{imin} \{V_A(a), V_A(b)\}$$

for all $x, y, z, a, b \in X$.

Proof. Suppose that A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X . Then A is a vague ideal of X by Theorem 3.8. Let $x, y, z, a, b \in X$ be such that $((x * y) * z) * a * b = 0$. Then $V_A((x * z) * (y * z)) \succeq V_A((x * y) * z) \succeq \text{imin} \{V_A(a), V_A(b)\}$ by Theorem 3.11 and Lemma 3.14. Thus (3.11) is valid.

Conversely, if we put $z = y$ in (3.11), then

$$V_A(x * y) = V_A((x * y) * (y * y)) \succeq \text{imin} \{V_A(a), V_A(b)\}$$

whenever $((x * y) * y) * a * b = 0$ for all $x, y, a, b \in X$. It follows from Theorem 3.15 that A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X . \square

By the mathematical induction, the above two theorems have more general forms.

Theorem 3.17. *For a vague set A in X , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X .
- (2) A satisfies the following inequality:

$$(3.12) \quad V_A(x * y) \succeq \text{imin} \{V_A(a_1), \dots, V_A(a_n)\}$$

whenever $(\dots((x * y) * y) * a_1) * \dots * a_n = 0$ for all $x, y, a_1, \dots, a_n \in X$.

Theorem 3.18. *For a vague set A in X , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) A is a positive implicative vague ideal of X .
- (2) A satisfies the following inequality:

$$(3.13) \quad V_A((x * z) * (y * z)) \succeq \text{imin} \{V_A(a_1), \dots, V_A(a_n)\}$$

whenever $(\dots((x * y) * z) * a_1) * \dots * a_n = 0$ for all $x, y, z, a_1, \dots, a_n \in X$.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions. The first author, Y. B. Jun, is an Executive Research Worker of Educational Research Institute in Gyeongsang National University.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. S. Ahn, Y. U. Cho and C. H. Park, Vague quick ideals of BCK/BCI-algebras, Honam Math. J. 30 (2008) 65–74.
- [2] R. Biswas, Vague groups, Internat. J. Comput. Cognition 4 (2006) 20–23.
- [3] W. L. Gau and D. J. Buehrer, Vague sets, IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics 23 (1993) 610–614.
- [4] Y. Huang, BCI-algebra, Science Press, Beijing, 2006.
- [5] Y. B. Jun and C. H. Park, Vague ideals of subtraction algebras, Int. Math. Forum 2 (2007) 2919–2926.
- [6] K. J. Lee, Y. H. Kim and Y. U. Cho, Vague set theory based on d-algebras, J. Appl. Math. Informatics 26 (2008) 1221–1232.
- [7] K. J. Lee, K. S. So and K. S. Bang, Vague BCK/BCI-algebras, J. Korea Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math. 15 (2008) 297–308.
- [8] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, BCK-algebras, Kyungmoon Sa Co. Seoul, 1994.
- [9] C. H. Park, Vague deductive systems of subtraction algebras, J. Appl. Math. Comput. 26 (2008) 427–436.
- [10] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. Control 8 (1965) 338–353.

YOUNG BAE JUN (skywine@gmail.com) – Department of Mathematics Education, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, Korea

KYOUNG JA LEE (lsj1109@hotmail.com) – Department of Mathematics Education, Hannam University, Daejeon 306-791, Korea